

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UN: TED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Ur.: ed States Patent and Trademark Office
Ac: ess: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	, TORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/500,746	02/09/2000	Robert J Winchester	57005-B/JPW/JSC	3022
	12/18/2001			
John P White Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			EXAMINER	
			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
New York, NY	10036	_		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
		Г	ATE MAILED: 12/18/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/500,746 Applicant(s)

Winchester et al.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron

Art Unit 1644

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for <b>R</b> eply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM
THE N	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
aft	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed cation.
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.	s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
- If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
	ommunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	y statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
•	reply received by the Office later than three months after the pried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	······	
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-17</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ition Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.
	2. $\square$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	
14) 🗌	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
14/	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
TILL IM	ormation disclosure aterement(s) (P10-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-6 are drawn to a method of treatment, classified in Class 514, subclass 825 and 2.
- II. Claims 7-12,16,17 are drawn to a composition, classified in Class 514, subclasses 885 and 2.
  - III. Claims 13-15 are drawn to an assay method, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.2.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claimed invention can be used to immunopurify antibodies which bind said agent.
- 3. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claimed invention can be used to immunopurify antibodies which bind said agent.
- 4. Inventions I and III are different methods. These inventions require different ingredients and process steps to achieve different goals. Invention I is an in vivo method of treatment while invention III is a screening method. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-III is not required for any other group from Groups I-III and Groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. If applicant elects invention I or II, the following species election is required.

- A) This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention,
  - a) the claimed invention that recites bicyclam
  - b)the claimed invention that recites oligopeptide
  - c)the claimed invention that recites human antibody
  - d)the claimed invention that recites chimeric antibody
  - e)the claimed invention that recites humanized antibody.

The aforementioned are chemically and functionally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

7. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention

- 8. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 9. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-

4242.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1644

December 17, 2001

RONALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1800 (00